

**Before coming to vote today, did you know the ballot would allow you to select your top choices for City Council and then to rank your remaining preferences?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	281	35.0	35.2	35.2
	Yes	518	64.5	64.8	100.0
	Total	799	99.5	100.0	
Missing	9.00	4	.5		
Total		803	100.0		

**[USE FORM] Was the option of ranking candidates easy/hard for you to understand or hard for you to understand?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Easy	479	59.7	59.7	59.7
	Somewhat Easy	206	25.7	25.7	85.4
	Somewhat Hard	93	11.6	11.6	97.0
	Very Hard	24	3.0	3.0	100.0
	Total	802	99.9	100.0	
Missing	9.00	1	.1		
Total		803	100.0		

**[USE FORM] Do you prefer ranking candidates to avoid a run-off election -- or do you prefer voting for only one or two candidates where a second election might be necessary?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	prefer ranking candidates	498	62.0	66.9	66.9
	prefer each option equally	37	4.6	5.0	71.9
	prefer voting for only one candidate/having a run-off if needed	209	26.0	28.1	100.0
	Total	744	92.7	100.0	
Missing	no opinion	55	6.8		
	9.00	4	.5		
	Total	59	7.3		
Total		803	100.0		

**After selecting your top one or two choices, did you rank any of the remaining candidates today?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No	294	36.6	37.4	37.4
	Yes	493	61.4	62.6	100.0
	Total	787	98.0	100.0	
Missing	9.00	16	2.0		
Total		803	100.0		

**If you DID NOT rank any candidates, what was the primary reason?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	I was unsure how ranking works	70	8.7	25.4	25.4
	I did not know enough about the other candidates	111	13.8	40.2	65.6
	Ranking other candidates would harm my first choice	48	6.0	17.4	83.0
	The remaining candidates were too similar	14	1.7	5.1	88.0
	Other	33	4.1	12.0	100.0
	Total	276	34.4	100.0	
Missing	ranked but answered anyway	72	9.0		
	9.00	455	56.7		
	Total	527	65.6		
Total		803	100.0		

**Do you agree or disagree with this statement: "Compared to previous Council elections, I was more informed about the candidates' positions on the issues."**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Agree	206	25.7	41.4	41.4
	Neither agree nor disagree	223	27.8	44.9	86.3
	Disagree	68	8.5	13.7	100.0
	Total	497	61.9	100.0	
Missing	9.00	8	1.0		
	System	298	37.1		
	Total	306	38.1		
Total		803	100.0		

**R's Age**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	22	2.7	2.7	2.7
	30-39	53	6.6	6.6	9.4
	40-49	74	9.2	9.2	18.6
	50-64	206	25.7	25.7	44.3
	65 and older	446	55.5	55.7	100.0
	Total	801	99.8	100.0	
Missing	9.00	2	.2		
Total		803	100.0		

### R's Sex

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Female	485	60.4	61.2	61.2
	Male	307	38.2	38.8	100.0
	Total	792	98.6	100.0	
Missing	9.00	11	1.4		
Total		803	100.0		

### R's level of education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	no high school	22	2.7	2.8	2.8
	high school or ged	121	15.1	15.2	18.0
	some college	238	29.6	29.9	47.9
	college graduate	229	28.5	28.8	76.6
	post-graduate	186	23.2	23.4	100.0
	Total	796	99.1	100.0	
Missing	9.00	7	.9		
Total		803	100.0		

### R's Race

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White	713	88.8	89.6	89.6
	Black	70	8.7	8.8	98.4
	Other	13	1.6	1.6	100.0
	Total	796	99.1	100.0	
Missing	9.00	7	.9		
Total		803	100.0		

### R's Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Under 20K	76	9.5	10.9	10.9
	\$20-49K	271	33.7	38.7	49.6
	\$50-74,999K	181	22.5	25.9	75.4
	\$75-99,999K	99	12.3	14.1	89.6
	\$100k or more	73	9.1	10.4	100.0
	Total	700	87.2	100.0	
Missing	9.00	103	12.8		
Total		803	100.0		

**R is White/Not White**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Non-White	83	10.3	10.4	10.4
	White	713	88.8	89.6	100.0
	Total	796	99.1	100.0	
Missing	System	7	.9		
Total		803	100.0		

**Correlations**

		[USE FORM] Was the option of ranking candidates easy/hard for you to understand or hard for you to understand?	Before coming to vote today, did you know the ballot would allow you to select your top choices for City Council and then to rank your remaining preferences?	R's Age	R's Sex
[USE FORM] Was the option of ranking candidates easy/hard for you to understand or hard for you to understand?	Pearson Correlation	1	-.209**	.003	.003
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.939	.939
	N	802	798	800	791
Before coming to vote today, did you know the ballot would allow you to select your top choices for City Council and then to rank your remaining preferences?	Pearson Correlation	-.209**	1	.298**	-.024
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.501
	N	798	799	797	788
R's Age	Pearson Correlation	.003	.298**	1	-.040
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.939	.000		.255
	N	800	797	801	792
R's Sex	Pearson Correlation	.003	-.024	-.040	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.939	.501	.255	
	N	791	788	792	792
R's level of education	Pearson Correlation	.037	.022	.009	.132**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.294	.529	.803	.000
	N	795	792	796	788
R's Income	Pearson Correlation	.026	.051	-.042	.108**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.501	.178	.270	.004
	N	700	699	700	694
R is White/Not White	Pearson Correlation	-.025	.145**	.202**	-.015
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.486	.000	.000	.667
	N	795	792	796	789

### Correlations

		R's level of education	R's Income	R is White/Not White
[USE FORM] Was the option of ranking candidates easy/hard for you to understand or hard for you to understand?	Pearson Correlation	.037	.026	-.025
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.294	.501	.486
	N	795	700	795
Before coming to vote today, did you know the ballot would allow you to select your top choices for City Council and then to rank your remaining preferences?	Pearson Correlation	.022	.051	.145**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.529	.178	.000
	N	792	699	792
R's Age	Pearson Correlation	.009	-.042	.202**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.803	.270	.000
	N	796	700	796
R's Sex	Pearson Correlation	.132**	.108**	-.015
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.004	.667
	N	788	694	789
R's level of education	Pearson Correlation	1	.394**	.270**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	796	698	793
R's Income	Pearson Correlation	.394**	1	.233**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	698	700	699
R is White/Not White	Pearson Correlation	.270**	.233**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	793	699	796

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

### Correlations

		[USE FORM] Do you prefer ranking candidates to avoid a run-off election -- or do you prefer voting for only one or two candidates where a second election might be necessary?	[USE FORM] Was the option of ranking candidates easy/hard for you to understand or hard for you to understand?	R's Age	R's Sex
[USE FORM] Do you prefer ranking candidates to avoid a run-off election -- or do you prefer voting for only one or two candidates where a second election might be necessary?	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N	1  744	.208**  743	.011 .772 742	-.010 .792 735
[USE FORM] Was the option of ranking candidates easy/hard for you to understand or hard for you to understand?	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N	.208** .000 743	1  802	.003 .939 800	.003 .939 791
R's Age	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N	.011 .772 742	.003 .939 800	1  801	-.040 .255 792
R's Sex	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N	-.010 .792 735	.003 .939 791	-.040 .255 792	1  792
R's level of education	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N	-.072 .051 737	.037 .294 795	.009 .803 796	.132** .000 788
R's Income	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N	-.047 .225 655	.026 .501 700	-.042 .270 700	.108** .004 694
R is White/Not White	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N	-.100** .007 738	-.025 .486 795	.202** .000 796	-.015 .667 789

### Correlations

		R's level of education	R's Income	R is White/Not White
[USE FORM] Do you prefer ranking candidates to avoid a run-off election -- or do you prefer voting for only one or two candidates where a second election might be necessary?	Pearson Correlation	-.072	-.047	-.100**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.051	.225	.007
	N	737	655	738
[USE FORM] Was the option of ranking candidates easy/hard for you to understand or hard for you to understand?	Pearson Correlation	.037	.026	-.025
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.294	.501	.486
	N	795	700	795
R's Age	Pearson Correlation	.009	-.042	.202**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.803	.270	.000
	N	796	700	796
R's Sex	Pearson Correlation	.132**	.108**	-.015
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.004	.667
	N	788	694	789
R's level of education	Pearson Correlation	1	.394**	.270**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	796	698	793
R's Income	Pearson Correlation	.394**	1	.233**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	698	700	699
R is White/Not White	Pearson Correlation	.270**	.233**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	793	699	796

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).